(B4)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 2539 of 2005

Petitioner		Shiv Kumar Verma, Aged about 28 years, Son of Shri Shyamratan Verma, Resident of Village Sonbhatta, Post- Kholi, Thana – Kharoa, Distt. Raipur (M.P.), (Ex-Mansevi Sahayak Shikchhak, Previously appointed on due selection and eligibilities against the vacant post of Asstt. Teachers, under the End. Deptt. of Govt. of M.P.)
	Versus	
Respondents	1.	State of M.P. (Now Chhattisgarh) Through Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)
	2.	Commissioner of Public nstructions, Govt. of M.P. ducation Deptt., Directorate of Public Instructions, (DPI Office), Near Chetak Bridge, Bhopal (M.P.).
	3.	Deputy Director of Public Instructions Govt. of M.P., Education Deptt. Education Distt. Raipur, P.O. Pension Bada, Arvind nagar, Raipur (M.P.).
	4.	Block Education Officer, Govt. of M.P., Education Deptt. P.O. Tilda, Tah. & Distt. Raipur (M.P.)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Alok Nigam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate.

ORDER

(Passed on this 13th day of March, 2007)

1. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are similar to that of in Ram Sahay Jha Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others (Original Application No. 15 of 1995). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 16.2.1996, allowed the application of the petitioner therein. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioner's case in terms of the order dated 16.2.1996

passed in the case of Ram Sahay Jha (Supra) by the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submission made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
3. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents – State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 16.2.1996 passed in the case of Ram Sahay Jha (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.
4. With the abovestated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur